Attorney or Party Name, Address, Telephone & FAX Nos., State Bar No. & Email Address	FOR COURT USE ONLY	
Jeffrey Marc Siskind		
3465 Santa Barbara Drive		
Wellington, FL 33414		
Attorney for:		
UNITED STATES B	ANKRUPTCY COURT	
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
In re:	CASE NO.: 23-11720-VZ	
Jeffrey Marc Siskind,	[m-2	
Jenney Marc Siskinu,	CHAPTER: 13   ▼	
	NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO CLAIM	
	DATE: 09/18/2023	
	TIME: 11:30 am	
	COURTROOM: 1368	
	PLACE: 255 E Temple Street	
	Los Angeles, CA 90012	
Debtor(s).		
Debio(s).		
TO (specify claimant and claimant's counsel, if any): All	liant Capital Management HDH; Weinstein & Rlley, PS	
<ol> <li>NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the undersigned has file in the above referenced case. The Objection to Claim see claim based upon the grounds set forth in the objection,</li> </ol>	eks to alter your rights by disallowing, reducing or modifying the	
3. <b>Deadline for Opposition Papers</b> : You must file and serve a response to the Objection to Claim not later than 14 days prior to the hearing date set forth above.		
IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY RESPOND IN ACCORDANC RELIEF REQUESTED IN THE OBJECTION WITHOUT	E WITH THIS NOTICE, THE COURT MAY GRANT THE FURTHER NOTICE OR HEARING.	
Date: <u>08/07/2023</u>	Jeffrev M. Siskind, Pro Se	
	Printed name of law firm	
	Signature	
Data Nation Mailed. 00/07/2002	Oignature C	
Date Notice Mailed: 08/07/2023	Printed name of attorney for objector	
	Finited frame of attorney for sujector	

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES DIVISION

IN RE:		CASE NO. 2:23-BK-11720-VZ
JEFFREY MARC SISKIND,		CHAPTER 13
Debtor.		
	/	

### **OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 7**

COMES NOW the Debtor, Jeffrey Marc Siskind, Pro Se, and files this Objection to Proof of Claim No. 7, and states:

- 1. Debtor filed its Chapter 13 petition on March 23, 2023.
- 2. The deadline for filing non-governmental Proof of Claim ("POC") was June 1, 2023.
- 3. On June 1, 2023, Alliant Capital Management HDH ("Claimant") filed POC 7 for a claim in the amount of which was prima facie deficient because it did not allege that any money was due to Claimant by the Debtor.
- 4. Debtor objects to the POC that was filed by Claimant was submitted on Official Form 410.
- 5. In Part 2, Section 7 of Claimant's POC, Claimant alleged that \$2,361,61 is the amount of its claim.
  - 6. In Part 2, Section 8, Claimant alleged that the debt was based upon a credit card.
  - 7. In Part 2, Section 9 of the POC, Claimant alleged that its claim is unsecured.
- 8. There were no attachments attached to Claimant's Official Form 410 to support its claim, except a one-page summary which states that the account upon which Debtor is alleged to owe a debt was owned by The Check Cashing Store.

- 9. The Proof of Claim was signed by the Claimant under penalty of perjury in Part 3 by an individual that appears to be employed by the putative creditor's law firm, as evidenced by the signature block and the Proof of Service Document filed as an attachment to the POC.
- 10. Debtor submits that the foregoing POC is facially deficient because it does not indicate that a claim previously held by the Check Cashing Store was ever assigned to Claimant, and that Claimant failed to attach any supporting documentation to attest to the amount of the original debt or charges to a credit card as required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c).
- 11. Debtor never had a credit card issued by Claimant or its indicated predecessor-ininterest.
- 12. If the claim is for a loan to Debtor that was made in Florida, it is for an amount that exceeds the usury rate of interest which is 18% for loan amounts of less than \$500,000 pursuant to Section 687.02(1), Florida Statutes.
- 13. Claimant bears the evidentiary burden of proving a prima facie claim that comports with all applicable laws, absent which its claim must be disallowed.
- 14. Prior to filing its deficient POC No. 7 in this case, Claimant made no effort in any forum to press any claim to recover sums that it might otherwise to be due to it by Debtor.
- 15. Claimant's claim is tantamount to an admission that said Claimant has violated Florida's applicable usury law to such an extent that it constitutes a felony.
  - 15. Claimant filed its deficient POC No. 7 purely to harass Debtor.
- 16. Because POC No. 7 fails to provide adequate supporting information required under FRBP 3001(c), Debtor seeks an order precluding Claimant from presenting any omitted information in any contested matter or adversary proceeding and also seeks its reasonable expenses and any applicable attorneys' fees pursuant to FRBP 3001(c)(2)(D).

17. Said applicable attorneys' fees would be the amount of reasonable hourly fees times the number of hours spent by an attorney hired by Debtor to prosecute this Objection.

WHEREFORE, Debtor objects to POC No. 7 and requests that it be stricken, and that the Court impose reasonable damages against Claimant.

TELEPHONE (561) 791-9565 FACSIMILE (561) 791-9581 Emails: jeffsiskind@msn.com & jeffsiskind@gmail.com

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above Objection to Proof of Claim No. 7 was served upon Claimant and all appropriate creditors as shown on the attached Proof of Service of Document.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/ Jeffrey M. Siskind\_\_\_\_\_ Jeffrey M. Siskind, Pro Se

#### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 17927 77th Lane, Loxahatchee, FL 33470

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled: **NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO CLAIM** will be served or was served **(a)** on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and **(b)** in the manner stated below:

# ATTACHMENT TO PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

Additional Party Served by U.S. Mail

Alliant Capital Management – HDH c/o Weinstein & Ripley, PS 2001 Western Avenue, Ste. 400 Seattle, WA 98121